

**30 November 2005: The State of New Hampshire (Ayotte) versus Planned Parenthood each presented arguments before the Supreme Court of the United States. This litigation might be more accurately characterized as the following.**

### **Planned Parenthood versus Parents**



**At issue was the State of New Hampshire legislature’s law requiring parental consent for an abortion for an under age child. Planned Parenthood wants to exercise exclusive surrogate parental control over minor children through the insertion into the legislation of the discretionary “medical emergency” provision. Apparently “parenthood” is truly a meaningless term for that organization. Such a discretionary “medical emergency” provision would allow a non-parent to intercede for an under age child and allow the abortion to proceed without parental notification. Perhaps this highlights the moral deficiency of this organization. No other “medical emergency” exists in which any court would allow some one other than the parents to intercede! (At least not at the moment.) Not somewhat ludicrous, but totally ludicrous to think that parents do not reserve that right! Then why should Planned Parenthood deserve a “medical emergency” provision usurping a parental right to which it is absolutely not entitled? Since a so-called “medical emergency” is truly all but non-existent in the massive volume of abortions they provide, this provision must be more of a bottom line business requirement. Indeed, the true “medical emergency” is more likely to occur at the hands of the abortionist than not. Why put an under age child at risk without informing those who brought the child to life? Who better than parents, true life-givers, can guide their underage child through a most difficult life-defining moment?**

**Planned Parenthood is a champion of “Choice” (abortion-on-demand) not life-giving and has many politicians cowering in fear of offending them. The Supreme Court in 1973 was instrumental in bringing to fruition their relentless, deadly, violent assault on Unborn Americans and the equally repugnant assault on parental rights. So what is truly at risk for Planned Parenthood? Risking parents becoming grandparents is bad for their abortion business, which is what Planned Parenthood is really about. Risking any change from surrogate parental control, which they now enjoy, may bring other changes and those they really fear. They fear it from the very court, which gave them and their political co-conspirators, license to terminate more innocent souls than any single dictator in the history of the world! If parental control is lost to Planned Parenthood then any group can organize nationally with a coordinated assault on those same rights for their business bottom line. Why not? Parents should not be allowed to impede a “legal” business should they, no matter what the business is? Isn’t this the crux of the litigation?**